

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/639,677	08/13/2003	Fernando Cuervo	ALC 3414	6127
76614 7590 07/07/2009 Kramer & Amado, P.C. 1725 Duke Street			EXAMINER	
			SURVILLO, OLEG	
Suite 240 Alexandria, V	A 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			2442	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/07/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Francisco de la Marta de La Assaultante Communication	10/639,677	CUERVO ET AL.				
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	OLEG SURVILLO	2442				
All Participants: Status of Application:						
(1) <u>Oleg Survillo, Examiner AU 2442</u> . (3)						
(2) Patrick Wamsley, Reg. No: 59,241.	(4)	(4)				
Date of Interview: 16 June 2009	Time:	Time:				
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:						
Part I.						
Rejection(s) discussed:						
, , ,						
Claims discussed: 1 and 9						
Prior art documents discussed: Marchand and Williams						
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet						
Part III.						
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 						
/Andrew Caldwell/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2442						
(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	tive Signature – if appropriate)				

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Application No. 10/639,677

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: On June 16 examiner faxed applicant's attorney a copy of proposed claim amendments that would overcome Marchand and Williams references and place the application in condition for allowance.

On June 18 applicant's attorney responded by suggesting alternative language instead of proposed "direct contact", but otherwise authorizing examiner's proposed amendments. Examiner indicated that alternative language such as "linked" or 'coupled" may not be sufficient to overcome cited art.

On June 19 applicant's attorney responded by suggesting alternative language "dynamic trusted policy association" instead of proposed 'direct contact'. No agreement has been reached whether such alternative language would be sufficient to overcome cited art. Examiner indicated that further action will be taken on the case.